

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for abstract logics

Victoria Gitman

vgitman@nylogic.org
<http://victoriagitman.github.io>

CUNY Logic Workshop

November 10, 2023

This is joint work with Jonathan Osinski.

First-order logic

First-order logic lies at the foundation of modern mathematics.

What is a logic?

- Assigns a collection of formulas to every language.
- Assigns truth values to formulas for every model.

First-order logic $\mathbb{L}_{\omega, \omega}$

- **Formulas:** close atomic formulas under conjunctions, disjunctions, negations, quantifiers.
- **Truth:** Tarski's recursive definition.
- **Properties:**
 - ▶ **Compactness:** every finitely satisfiable theory has a model.
 - ▶ A language has **set-many** formulas.
 - ▶ A formula can mention **finitely much** of a language.

First-order logic does not exist outside of mathematics.

A (fragment of a) set-theoretic background is necessary to interpret first-order logic.

- natural numbers
- recursion

Stronger logics require access to more of the set-theoretic background.

Infinitary logics

Add transfinite conjunctions, disjunctions, and quantifier blocks of formulas.

Suppose $\gamma \leq \delta$ are regular cardinals.

Infinitary logics $\mathbb{L}_{\delta,\gamma}$

Close formulas under **conjunctions and disjunctions of length $< \delta$** and **quantifier blocks of length $< \gamma$** .

- A language has **set-many** formulas.
- A formula can mention **$< \delta$ -much** of a language.

Examples

- $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_1,\omega}$
 - ▶ There is a sentence expressing that the **natural numbers are standard**:

$$\forall n \in \omega [n = 0 \vee n = 1 \vee n = 2 \vee \dots]$$

- ▶ **Compactness fails.**
- $\mathbb{L}_{\delta,\omega}$
 - ▶ For every **ordinal $\xi < \delta$** and formula $\psi(y, x)$, there is a formula $\varphi_{\psi}^{\xi}(x)$ expressing that $(\{y \mid \psi(y, x)\}, \psi) \cong (\xi, \in)$.

Infinitary logics (continued)

Examples (continued)

• $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_1, \omega_1}$

- ▶ For every formula $\psi(x, y)$ there is a sentence $\varphi_{\psi}^{\text{WF}}$ expressing that the relation given by ψ is **well-founded**:

$$\neg \exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots [\psi(x_1, x_0) \wedge \psi(x_2, x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \psi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \wedge \dots]$$

- ▶ For every formula $\psi(x)$ there is a sentence $\varphi_{\psi}^{\text{Inf}}$ expressing that $\{x \mid \psi(x)\}$ is **infinite**:

$$\exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \dots \bigwedge_{n, m < \omega} x_n \neq x_m$$

• $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_2, \omega_2}$

- ▶ For every formula $\psi(x)$ there is a sentence φ_{ψ} expressing that $\{x \mid \psi(x)\}$ is **uncountable**:

$$\exists x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\xi} \dots \bigwedge_{\xi, \eta < \omega_1} x_{\xi} \neq x_{\eta}$$

Second-order logic \mathbb{L}^2

Add **second-order quantifiers** ranging over **all relations** on the model.

Expressive power

- The relation given by a formula $\psi(y, x)$ is **well-founded**: every subset has a least element.
- $\{x \mid \psi(x)\}$ is **infinite**: there is a bijection with a proper subset.
- $|\{x \mid \psi(x)\}| = |\{y \mid \varphi(y)\}|$
- (Magidor) $(\{y \mid \psi(x, y)\}, \psi) \cong (V_\alpha, \in)$ for some α .
- A group F is **free**:
 - ▶ Suppose F has **cardinality** δ .
 - ▶ F is **free** if and only if there is a **transitive model** $M \models \text{ZFC}^-$ of **size** δ with $F \in M$ which **satisfies that F is free**.
 - ▶ There is a relation E on F such that (F, E)
 - ★ satisfies ZFC^- ,
 - ★ is **well-founded**,
 - ★ has an **element isomorphic to F** ,
 - ★ satisfies that **F is free**.

 $\mathbb{L}_{\delta, \gamma}^2$

Formulas are closed under **conjunctions, disjunctions of length $< \delta$** and **quantifier blocks of length $< \gamma$** .

Equicardinality logic $\mathbb{L}(I)$

Add a new **quantifier** I such that for all formulas $\psi(x)$ and $\varphi(y)$:

$$Ixy \psi(x)\varphi(y) \text{ whenever } |\{x \mid \psi(x)\}| = |\{y \mid \varphi(y)\}|$$

Expressive power

- The **natural numbers** are **standard**:

$$\forall n \in \omega \ |\{m \mid m \in n\}| \neq |\{m \mid m \in n + 1\}|$$

- $|\{x \mid \psi(x)\}|$ is **infinite**:

$$\exists y [\psi(y) \wedge |\{x \mid \psi(x)\}| = |\{x \mid \psi(x) \wedge x \neq y\}|]$$

- A **model** is κ^+ -like for a cardinal κ .
- A model is **cardinal correct**: if κ is a **cardinal**, then for all $\alpha < \kappa$

$$|\xi \mid \xi < \alpha| \neq |\xi \mid \xi < \kappa|.$$

Relationships

- $\mathbb{L}(I) \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$

Well-foundedness logic $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$

Add a new quantifier Q^{WF} such that for all formulas $\psi(x, y)$:

$Q^{\text{WF}} x, y \psi(x, y)$ whenever the relation given by $\psi(x, y)$ is **well-founded**.

Relationships

- $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_{\omega_1, \omega_1}$
- $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}) \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$

Sort logics $\mathbb{L}^{s,n}$

Sort logics require access to Σ_n -truth in the set-theoretic universe.

(Väänänen) $\mathbb{L}^{s,n}$

- \mathbb{L}^2
- Sort quantifiers $\tilde{\forall}$ and $\tilde{\exists}$
 - ▶ search the set-theoretic universe for a **new structure** such that there is a **relation on the combination of the new and old structure** satisfying a given formula.
 - ▶ at most **n -alternations** of sort quantifiers are allowed

Expressive power

- For every formula $\psi(y, x)$ there is a sentence $\varphi_\psi^n(x)$ expressing that $(\{y \mid \psi(y, x)\}, \psi) \cong (V_\alpha, \in)$ and $V_\alpha \prec_{\Sigma_n} V$ for some α .

Languages

A **language** τ is a quadruple $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{C}, a)$ where:

- \mathfrak{F} are the **functions**,
- \mathfrak{R} are the **relations**,
- \mathfrak{C} are the **constants**,
- $a : \mathfrak{F} \cup \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \omega$ is the **arity function**.

A **τ -structure** is a **set** with **interpretations** for the functions, relations, and constants in τ .

A **renaming** f between languages $\tau = (\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{C}, a)$ and $\sigma = (\mathfrak{F}', \mathfrak{R}', \mathfrak{C}', a')$ is an **arity-preserving bijection** between the functions, relations, and constants.

Given a **renaming** f , let f^* be the associated **bijection** between τ -structures and σ -structures.

What is a logic?

A **logic** is a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \models_{\mathcal{L}})$ of **classes** satisfying the following conditions.

- \mathcal{L} is a **class function** which takes a language τ to $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$: the **set of all sentences in τ** .
- $\models_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a sub-class of the class of all pairs (M, φ) where M is a τ -structure and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\tau)$ which determines when M satisfies φ .
- If $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ are languages, then $\mathcal{L}(\tau) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$.
- If $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\tau)$, $\sigma \supseteq \tau$ are languages, and M is a σ -structure, then $M \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ if and only if the reduct $M \upharpoonright \tau \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$.
- If $M \cong N$ are τ -structures, then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\tau)$ $M \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ if and only if $N \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$.
- Every **renaming** f between languages τ and σ induces a **bijection** $f_* : \mathcal{L}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ such that for any τ -structure M and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\tau)$

$$M \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \text{ if and only if } f^*(M) \models_{\mathcal{L}} f_*(\varphi).$$

- There is a least cardinal κ , called the **occurrence number** of \mathcal{L} , such that for every sentence $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\tau)$, there is a **sub-language τ^* of size less than κ** such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\tau^*)$.

Note: Formulas are accommodated by introducing and interpreting constants.

Strong compactness cardinals

A cardinal κ is a **strong compactness cardinal** for a logic \mathcal{L} if every $<\kappa$ -satisfiable \mathcal{L} -theory has a model.

Compactness Theorem: ω is a strong compactness cardinal for first-order logic.

Compactness for $\mathbb{I}_{\kappa, \kappa}$ and $\mathbb{I}_{\kappa, \omega}$

(Tarski) A cardinal κ is **strongly compact** if every κ -complete filter can be extended to a κ -complete ultrafilter.

- **Strongly compact** cardinals are **stronger** than **measurable** cardinals.
- (Magidor) It is consistent that the **least strongly compact** cardinal is the **least measurable** cardinal.

Theorem: (Tarski) The following are equivalent:

- κ is a **strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{I}_{\kappa, \omega}$.
- κ is a **strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{I}_{\kappa, \kappa}$.
- κ is **strongly compact**.

Compactness for $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_1, \omega_1}$ and $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$

(Magidor) A cardinal κ is ω_1 -strongly compact if every κ -complete filter can be extended to a countably complete ultrafilter.

- ω_1 -strongly compact cardinals are stronger than measurable cardinals.
- (Magidor) It is consistent that the least ω_1 -strongly compact cardinal is the least measurable cardinal.
- (Bagaria, Magidor) It is consistent that the least ω_1 -strongly compact cardinal is above the least measurable cardinal.

Theorem: (Magidor) The following are equivalent:

- κ is a strong compactness cardinal for $\mathbb{L}_{\omega_1, \omega_1}$.
- κ is a strong compactness cardinal for $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$.
- κ is ω_1 -strongly compact.

Strong compactness cardinals for \mathbb{L}^2 and $\mathbb{L}(I)$

A cardinal κ is **extendible** if for every $\alpha > \kappa$, there is an elementary embedding $j: V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\beta$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, and $j(\kappa) > \alpha$.

Extendible cardinals are **stronger** than **strongly compact** cardinals.

Theorem: (Magidor)

- The **least extendible** cardinal is the **least strong compactness cardinal** for \mathbb{L}^2 .
- A cardinal κ is **extendible** if and only if it is a **strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}^2$.

A cardinal κ is **supercompact** if for every $\alpha > \kappa$, there is an elementary embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$ and $M^\alpha \subseteq M$.

Theorem: (Boney, Osinski) It is consistent that the **least strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{L}(I)$ is \geq the **least supercompact** cardinal.

Strong compactness cardinals for the sort logics $\mathbb{L}^{s,n}$

$$C^{(n)} = \{\alpha \in \text{Ord} \mid V_\alpha \prec_{\Sigma_n} V\}$$

(Bagaria) A cardinal κ is $C^{(n)}$ -**extendible** if for every $\alpha > \kappa$ in $C^{(n)}$, there is an elementary embedding $j : V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\beta$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, $\beta \in C^{(n)}$, and $j(\kappa) > \alpha$.

- Extendible cardinals are $C^{(1)}$ -extendible.
- $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinals form a hierarchy.

Theorem: (Boney)

- The **least $C^{(n)}$ -extendible** cardinal is the **least strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{L}^{s,n}$.
- A cardinal κ is $C^{(n)}$ -**extendible** if and only if it is a **strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}^{s,n}$.

Universal strong compactness

Vopěnka's Principle holds if for every proper class of first-order structures in the same languages there are two structures which elementarily embed.

Theorem: (Bagaria) **Vopěnka's Principle** holds if and only if for every $n < \omega$ there is a $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal.

Theorem: (Makowsky) Every logic has a strong compactness cardinal if and only if **Vopěnka's Principle** holds.

Upwards Löwenheim Skolem numbers

Fix a logic \mathcal{L} .

The **Hanf number** of \mathcal{L} is the **least cardinal** δ such that for every language τ and $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ -sentence φ , if a τ -structure $M \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ has **size** $\gamma \geq \delta$, then for every cardinal $\bar{\gamma} > \gamma$, there is a τ -structure \bar{M} of **size at least** $\bar{\gamma}$ such that $\bar{M} \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$.

Theorem: (Folklore) Every logic has a Hanf number.

The **upward Löwenheim-Skolem number** $ULS(\mathcal{L})$, if it exists, is the **least cardinal** δ such that for every language τ and $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ -sentence φ , if a τ -structure $M \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ has **size** $\gamma \geq \delta$, then for every cardinal $\bar{\gamma} > \gamma$, there is a τ -structure \bar{M} of **size at least** $\bar{\gamma}$ such that $\bar{M} \models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ and $M \subseteq \bar{M}$ is a **substructure of** \bar{M} .

The **strong upward Löwenheim-Skolem number** $SULS(\mathcal{L})$, if it exists, is the **least cardinal** δ such that for every language τ and every τ -structure M of **size** $\gamma \geq \delta$, for every cardinal $\bar{\gamma} > \gamma$, there is a τ -structure \bar{M} of **size at least** $\bar{\gamma}$ such that $M \prec_{\mathcal{L}} \bar{M}$ is an \mathcal{L} -**elementary substructure of** \bar{M} .

Upward Löwenheim Skolem Theorem: ω is the **strong upward Löwenheim-Skolem number of first-order logic**.

Compactness and upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers

Proposition: If a logic \mathcal{L} has a strong compactness cardinal κ , then $\text{SULS}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \kappa$.

Proof:

- Fix a τ -structure M of size $\gamma \geq \kappa$.
- Fix a cardinal $\bar{\gamma} > \gamma$.
- Let τ' be the language τ extended by adding $\bar{\gamma}$ -many constants $\{c_\xi \mid \xi < \bar{\gamma}\}$.
- Let T be the $\mathcal{L}(\tau')$ -theory:
 - ▶ \mathcal{L} -elementary diagram of M
 - ▶ $\{c_\xi \neq c_\eta \mid \xi < \eta < \bar{\gamma}\}$
- T is $<\kappa$ -satisfiable (holds in M).
- T has a model. \square

Corollary: If Vopěnka's Principle holds, then every logic has a strong upward Löwenheim Skolem number.

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$

Theorem: If κ is a measurable cardinal, then $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})) \leq \kappa$.

Proof:

- Fix a τ -structure N of size $\gamma \geq \kappa$.
- Fix a cardinal $\bar{\gamma} > \gamma$.
- Let $j : V \rightarrow M$ be an elementary embedding with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$ and $j(\kappa) > \bar{\gamma}$ (sufficiently iterated ultrapower).
- $j(N) \in M$ is a $j(\tau)$ -structure, and hence $j \restriction N$ is a τ -structure.
- $j(N)$ is a τ -structure modulo the renaming which takes τ to $j \restriction N$.
- Let the renaming take φ to $\bar{\varphi}$.
- $\bar{N} = j \restriction N \subseteq j(N)$ is a τ -substructure of $j(N)$.
- $N \cong \bar{N}$
- $\bar{N} \prec_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})} j(N)$
 - ▶ Suppose $\bar{N} \models_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})} \varphi(j(a))$.
 - ▶ $N \models_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})} \varphi(a)$ via the isomorphism j .
 - ▶ $M \models "j(N) \models_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})} \bar{\varphi}(j(a))"$ by elementarity of j .
 - ▶ $j(N) \models_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})} \bar{\varphi}(j(a))$ as a $j(\tau)$ -structure (M is well-founded)
 - ▶ $j(N) \models_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})} \varphi(j(a))$ modulo the renaming.
- Since $|N| \geq \kappa$, $|j(N)| \geq j(\kappa) > \bar{\gamma}$. \square

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for $\mathbb{L}(Q^{WF})$ (continued)

Theorem: If $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{WF}))$ exists, then it is the **least measurable** cardinal.

Proof:

- Let $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{WF})) = \delta$.
- Suffices to show there is a **measurable cardinal** $\leq \delta$.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = (H_{\delta^+}, \in, \delta, \text{Tr})$, where **Tr** is a **truth predicate** for (H_{δ^+}, \in) .
- $\mathcal{M} \models_{\mathbb{L}(Q^{WF})} \varphi$:
 - ▶ I am well-founded.
 - ▶ δ is the largest cardinal.
 - ▶ **Tr** is a truth predicate for (H_{δ^+}, \in) .
- Let $\mathcal{N} = (N, E, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\text{Tr}}) \models \varphi$ of size $\gg \delta$ with $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$.
- Since \mathcal{N} is **well-founded**, we can assume:
 - ▶ $E = \in$,
 - ▶ N is transitive,
 - ▶ $j : H_{\delta^+} \rightarrow N$ such that $j(\delta) = \bar{\delta}$.
- j is **elementary** (using the truth predicate).
- Let $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa \leq \delta$.
- Use j to derive a **κ -complete ultrafilter** on κ . \square

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$ (continued)

Corollary: The following are equivalent for a cardinal κ .

- κ is the **least measurable** cardinal.
- $\kappa = \text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}))$.
- $\kappa = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}))$.

Corollary: It is consistent that:

- $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})) = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}))$ is the **least strong compactness cardinal** for $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$.
- $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})) = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}))$ is **smaller** than the least strong compactness cardinal for $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$.
- $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})) = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}}))$, but $\mathbb{L}(Q^{\text{WF}})$ doesn't have a strong compactness cardinal.

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for \mathbb{L}^2 and $\mathbb{L}^{s,n}$

- Targets of extendible embeddings are correct about \mathbb{L}^2 .
- Targets of $C^{(n)}$ -extendible embeddings are correct about \mathbb{L}^{s,Σ_n} .

Theorem: The following are equivalent for a cardinal κ .

- κ is the least extendible cardinal.
- κ is the least strong compactness cardinal for \mathbb{L}^2 .
- $\kappa = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}^2)$.
- $\kappa = \text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}^2)$.

Theorem: The following are equivalent for a cardinal κ and $n < \omega$.

- κ is the least $C^{(n)}$ -extendible cardinal.
- κ is the least strong compactness cardinal for $\mathbb{L}^{s,n}$.
- $\kappa = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}^{s,n})$.
- $\kappa = \text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}^{s,n})$.

Corollary: Every logic has an upward Löwenheim Skolem number if and only if Vopěnka's Principle holds.

Tall cardinals

(Hamkins) A cardinal κ is **tall** if for every $\theta > \kappa$, there is an elementary embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, $M^\kappa \subseteq M$, and $j(\kappa) > \theta$.

A cardinal κ is **tall with closure $\lambda \leq \kappa$** if $M^\lambda \subseteq M$, and **tall with closure $< \lambda$** if $M^{<\lambda} \subseteq M$.

A cardinal κ is **tall pushing up δ** if for every $\theta > \delta$, there is an elementary embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, $M^\kappa \subseteq M$, and $j(\delta) > \theta$.

A cardinal κ is **tall pushing up δ with closure $\lambda \leq \kappa$** if $M^\lambda \subseteq M$, and **tall with closure $< \lambda$** if $M^{<\lambda} \subseteq M$.

A cardinal δ is **supreme for tallness** if for all $\lambda < \delta$, there is a cardinal $\lambda < \kappa \leq \delta$ that is **tall pushing up δ with closure λ** .

A **limit of tall cardinals** is **supreme for tallness**.

- (Hamkins) If κ is **tall with closure $< \kappa$** , then κ is **tall**.
- (Gitik) **Tall cardinals** are **stronger** than **measurable cardinals** (equiconsistent with strong cardinals).
- **Strongly compact cardinals** are **stronger** than **tall cardinals**.

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}$

Targets of tall with closure $< \lambda$ embeddings are correct about $\mathbb{L}_{\lambda, \lambda}$.

Proposition: $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}) \geq \kappa$.

Theorem: If there is a tall cardinal κ pushing up δ with closure $< \lambda$, then $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda, \lambda}) \leq \delta$. In particular, if κ is tall, then $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}) = \text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}) = \kappa$.

Theorem: If $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda, \lambda}) = \delta$, then there is a tall cardinal $\lambda \leq \kappa \leq \delta$ pushing up δ with closure $< \lambda$. In particular, if $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}) = \kappa$, then κ is tall.

Corollary: It is consistent that $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}) = \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}) = \kappa$, but κ is not a strong compactness cardinal for $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa, \kappa}$.

Theorem: If δ is supreme for tallness, then $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda, \lambda}) \leq \delta$ exists for every regular $\lambda \leq \delta$. In particular, if δ is regular, then $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\delta, \delta}) = \delta$.

Theorem: If $\text{ULS}(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda, \lambda}) = \lambda$, then λ is supreme for tallness.

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for $\mathbb{L}_{\kappa,\kappa}$ (continued)

Theorem: It is consistent that λ is inaccessible, $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda,\lambda})$ exists, but $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda,\lambda})$ does not exist.

Proof sketch: Use forcing to produce a model with:

- An inaccessible λ that is a limit of tall cardinals.
- No measurable cardinals $\geq \lambda$.

Theorem: It is consistent that λ is inaccessible and $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda,\lambda}) < \text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}_{\lambda,\lambda})$.

Proof sketch: Use forcing to produce a model with:

- An inaccessible λ that is a limit of tall cardinals.
- λ is not tall.
- There is a tall cardinal above λ .

$\mathbb{L}(I)$ and well-foundedness

Let ZFC^* be a sufficiently **large finite fragment** of ZFC :

- the ordinals form a well-ordered class,
- for every ordinal α there is a **sequence of cardinals of order-type α** ,
- the sets are the union of the von Neumann hierarchy.

Theorem: (Goldberg) If $(M, E) \models ZFC^*$ is **cardinal correct**, then it is **well-founded**.

Proof:

- Suffices to show that every **ordinal** is **well-founded**.
- Fix an ordinal α in M .
- Let $\{\kappa_\xi \mid \xi \in \alpha\}$ be a **sequence of cardinals of order-type α** in M .
- In V $|\kappa_\xi| < |\kappa_\eta|$ for all $\xi \in \eta \in \alpha$ (cardinal correctness).
- α is **well-founded**. \square

Cardinal correct extendible cardinals

A cardinal κ is **cardinal correct extendible** if for every $\alpha > \kappa$, there is an elementary embedding $j : V_\alpha \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, M cardinal correct, and $j(\kappa) > \alpha$.

A cardinal κ is **weakly cardinal correct extendible** if we remove $j(\kappa) > \alpha$.

A cardinal κ is **cardinal correct extendible pushing up δ** if for every $\alpha > \kappa$, there is an elementary embedding $j : V_\alpha \rightarrow M$ with $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$, M cardinal correct, and $j(\delta) > \alpha$.

Theorem: If κ is **weakly cardinal correct extendible**, then κ is **strongly compact** or V_κ satisfies that there is a strongly compact cardinal.

Theorem: If κ is a **Laver indestructible supercompact** cardinal, then κ is **not cardinal correct extendible**.

Questions:

- Can we separate extendible cardinals and cardinal correct extendible cardinals?
- Are cardinal correct extendible cardinals weaker than extendible cardinals?
- Are weakly cardinal correct extendible cardinals equivalent to cardinal correct extendible cardinals?

Upward Löwenheim Skolem numbers for $\mathbb{L}(I)$

The target of a cardinal correct extendible embedding is cardinal correct.

Theorem: If there is a cardinal correct extendible cardinal κ pushing up δ , then $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(I)) \leq \delta$.

Theorem: If $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(I))$ exists, then there are $\kappa \leq \gamma$ such that κ is cardinal correct extendible pushing up γ .

Theorem: It is consistent that $\text{ULS}(\mathbb{L}(I))$ is strictly above the least supercompact cardinal.

Question: If $\text{SULS}(\mathbb{L}(I)) = \delta$, is there a cardinal correct extendible $\kappa \leq \delta$ pushing up δ ?