### Ramsey cardinals and the continuum function

Victoria Gitman

vgitman@nylogic.org http://boolesrings.org/victoriagitman

February 14, 2014

Victoria Gitman

Ramsey cardinals and the continuum function

February 14, 2014 1 / 32

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

This is joint work with Brent Cody (Virginia Commonwealth University).

-

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

### The continuum function

is first studied by Cantor who shows that  $2^{\alpha} > \alpha$ .

In 1877, Cantor puts forth the Continuum Hypothesis (CH):

 $2^{\omega} = \omega_1.$ 

In 1904, König presents a false proof that continuum is not an ℵ. But hidden inside is the famous König's Inequality:

 $cf(2^{\alpha}) > \alpha$  for every cardinal  $\alpha$ .

**Example**: We cannot have  $2^{\omega} = \aleph_{\omega}$ .

In 1905, Jourdain states the Generalized Continuum Hypothesis (GCH):

 $2^{\aleph_{\alpha}} = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$ .

**Definition**: The (class) continuum function *F* maps every (regular) cardinal  $\alpha$  to  $2^{\alpha}$ .

**Note**: By König's Inequality,  $cf(F(\alpha)) > \alpha$ .

Question: What other restrictions apply to the continuum function?

### Continuum Hypothesis resolved?

In 1938, Gödel constructs *L*, the smallest inner model of set theory, and shows that the GCH holds in *L*.

In 1963, Cohen invents forcing and uses it to show that -CH is consistent with ZFC.

Soon after, Solovay shows that if  $V \models$  GCH and  $\kappa$  a cardinal with  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ , then there is a (cardinality and) cofinality preserving forcing extension in which:

$$2^{\omega} = \kappa.$$

König's Inequality turns out to be the sole restriction on  $2^{\omega}$ !

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Easton's Theorem

In 1970, Easton shows that König's Inequality is the sole restriction on the continuum function on the regular cardinals.

Definition: A (class) function on the regular cardinals is an Easton function if:

- $\alpha < \beta \longrightarrow F(\alpha) \leq F(\beta),$
- $cf(F(\alpha)) > \alpha$  (König's Inequality).

#### Theorem (Easton, 1970)

If  $V \models$  GCH and F is an Easton function, then there is a cofinality preserving forcing extension in which:

 $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha$ .

The situation with singular cardinals is much more complex, for example:

**Theorem** (Silver, 1975): Let  $\alpha$  be a singular cardinal of uncountable cofinality. If  $2^{\delta} = \delta^+$  for all cardinals  $\delta < \alpha$ , then  $2^{\alpha} = \alpha^+$ .

#### But if we want to preserve large cardinals?

### Large cardinals and the continuum function

Large cardinals affect the continuum function in both obvious and subtle ways.

#### Inaccessible cardinals:

- Any inaccessible  $\kappa$  is a closure point of F ( $F " \kappa \subseteq \kappa$ ).
- If  $V \models$  GCH,  $\kappa$  is inaccessible, and F is an Easton function with a closure point at  $\kappa$ , then there is a (cofinality preserving) forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha$ ,
  - $\kappa$  remains inaccessible.

(by the proof of Easton's Theorem)

• Result extends to a class of inaccessible cardinals.

#### Weakly compact cardinals:

- (Folklore) If  $V \models$  GCH,  $\kappa$  is weakly compact, and *F* is an Easton function with a closure point at  $\kappa$ , then there is a (cofinality preserving) forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha$ ,
  - $\kappa$  remains weakly compact.

(needs a different forcing because Easton product destroys weak compactness over L, stay tuned for sketch of proof)

- Result extends to a class of weakly compact cardinals.
- A weakly compact  $\kappa$  can be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds or the first at which GCH fails.

#### Strongly unfoldable cardinals:

An inaccessible cardinal  $\kappa$  is strongly unfoldable if for every ordinal  $\theta$  and every transitive set *M* of size  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa \in M \models$  ZFC and  $M^{<\kappa} \subseteq M$  there is a

transitive set N and an elementary embedding  $j: M \to N$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that  $\theta \leq j(\kappa)$  and  $V_{\theta} \subseteq N$ .

- A strongly unfoldable  $\kappa$  cannot be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds or the first at which GCH fails.
- If GCH holds below a strongly unfoldable  $\kappa$ , then GCH holds.

(these facts follow easily from the definition)

- If  $V \models$  GCH,  $\kappa$  is strongly unfoldable, and F is an Easton function defined above  $\kappa$ , then there is a forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha > \kappa$ ,
  - $\kappa$  remains strongly unfoldable.

(first make  $\kappa$  indestructible by all  $\leq \kappa$ -closed  $\kappa^+$ -preserving forcing (Hamkins, Johnstone, 2010))

### Remarkable cardinals:

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is remarkable if in  $V^{\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)}$ , for every cardinal  $\lambda > \kappa$ , there is some  $X \prec H_{\lambda}^{V}$  such that  $|X| = \omega, X \cap \kappa \in \kappa$ , and there is some *V*-cardinal  $\overline{\lambda}$  such that  $X \simeq H_{\lambda}^{V}$ .

- A remarkable  $\kappa$  cannot be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds (or fails).
- If GCH holds below a remarkable  $\kappa$ , then GCH holds.

(these facts follow easily from the definition)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□

#### Ramsey cardinals:

- (Cody, G., 2012) If  $V \models$  GCH,  $\kappa$  is Ramsey, and *F* is an Easton function with a closure point at  $\kappa$ , then there is a (cofinality preserving) forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha$ ,
  - $\blacktriangleright$  *\kappa* remains Ramsey.
- Result extends to a class of Ramsey cardinals.
- A Ramsey  $\kappa$  can be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds or the first at which GCH fails.

#### Measurable cardinals:

- A measurable  $\kappa$  cannot be the first regular cardinal at which GCH fails.
- (Levinski, 1995) A measurable  $\kappa$  can be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds.
- (Gitik, 1993) A measurable κ at which GCH fails has the consistency strength of a measurable cardinal of Mitchell order o(κ) = κ<sup>++</sup>.

#### Woodin cardinals:

A cardinal  $\delta$  is Woodin if for every  $A \subseteq V_{\delta}$  there are arbitrarily large  $\kappa < \delta$  such that for all  $\lambda < \delta$  there exists an elementary embedding  $j : V \to M$ with critical point  $\kappa$ , such that  $j(\kappa) > \lambda$ ,  $V_{\lambda} \subseteq M$ , and  $A \cap V_{\lambda} = j(A) \cap V_{\lambda}$ .

- (Cody, 2011) If  $V \models$  GCH,  $\kappa$  is Woodin, and F is an Easton function with a closure point at  $\kappa$ , then there is a (cofinality preserving) forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha$ ,
  - κ remains Woodin.
- Result extends to a class of Woodin cardinals.
- A Woodin  $\kappa$  can be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds or the first at which GCH fails.

#### Supercompact cardinals:

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is supercompact if for every ordinal  $\theta$  there is an elementary embedding  $j: V \to M$  with critical point  $\kappa$ , such that  $\theta < j(\kappa)$  and  $M^{\theta} \subseteq M$ .

- A supercompact  $\kappa$  cannot be the first regular cardinal at which GCH holds (or fails).
- If GCH holds below a supercompact  $\kappa$ , then GCH holds. (these facts follow easily from the definition)
- If V ⊨ GCH, κ is supercompact, and F is an Easton function defined above κ, then there is a forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha > \kappa$ ,
  - κ remains supercompact.

(first make κ indestructible by all < κ-directed closed forcing (Laver, 1978))

### *l*<sub>0</sub>-axiom:

For some  $\lambda$ , there exists an elementary embedding  $j : L(V_{\lambda+1}) \rightarrow L(V_{\lambda+1})$  with critical point below  $\lambda$ .

- (Dimonte, Friedman, 2013) If  $V \models \text{GCH}$ ,  $I_0$  holds with its associated  $\lambda$ , and F is an Easton function such that  $F \upharpoonright \lambda$  is definable over  $V_{\lambda}$ , then there is a (cofinality preserving) forcing extension in which:
  - $2^{\alpha} = F(\alpha)$  for every regular cardinal  $\alpha$ ,
  - $I_0$  holds with  $\lambda$ .

### Large cardinals and the continuum function (singular cardinals)

#### Singular Cardinal Hypothesis (SCH):

The SCH holds if for all singular  $\kappa$ , we have  $\kappa^{cf(\kappa)} = \max\{\kappa^+, 2^{cf(\kappa)}\}$ .

- If SCH fails, then 0<sup>#</sup> exists.
- SCH holds above a supercompact cardinal.

### A standard definition of Ramsey cardinals

that we won't care much about.

```
Definition (Erdős, Hajnal, 1962)
```

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is Ramsey if every coloring  $f : [\kappa]^{<\omega} \to 2$  has a homogeneous set of size  $\kappa$ .

**Question**: Do Ramsey cardinals have a characterization in terms of the existence of elementary embeddings?

Answer: Yes!

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Large cardinals and elementary embeddings

Measurable cardinals and most larger large cardinals  $\kappa$  are characterized by the existence of elementary embeddings  $j : V \to M$ , from the universe into an inner model, with critical point  $\kappa$ .

**Example**: A cardinal  $\kappa$  is measurable if there is  $j : V \to M$  with critical point  $\kappa$ .

- WLOG *j* is the ultrapower by a countably complete ultrafilter *U* on  $\kappa$ . ( $A \in U \leftrightarrow \kappa \in j(A)$ )
- The ultrapower construction with *U* can be iterated ORD-many times to construct an ORD-length directed system of elementary embeddings of inner models.

(take the ultrapower by image of ultrafilter from previous stage at successor stages and direct limits at limit stages)

Question: What type of embeddings characterize smaller large cardinals?

Answer: "They are small!"

### Large cardinals and elementary embeddings (continued)

Many smaller large cardinals  $\kappa$  are characterized by the existence of elementary embeddings  $j: M \to N$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that:

- M, N transitive,
- $\kappa \in M \models \text{ZFC} \text{ (or ZFC}^-),$
- $|M| = \kappa$ .

**Example**: An inaccessible cardinal  $\kappa$  is weakly compact if for every  $A \subseteq \kappa$ , there is  $j : M \to N$  as above with  $A \in M$ .

- WLOG  $M^{<\kappa} \subseteq M$ . (code *M* by subset of  $\kappa$ , put into  $\overline{M}$  as above and restrict to  $j: M \to j(M)$ )
- WLOG *j* is the ultrapower by an *M*-ultrafilter *U*: countably complete ultrafilter from the perspective of ⟨*M*, ∈, *U*⟩. (A ∈ U ↔ κ ∈ j(A))
- If  $M^{\omega} \not\subseteq M$ , an *M*-ultrafilter *U* may not be countably complete.
- To iterate the ultrapower construction, U must be weakly amenable: if |X|<sup>M</sup> = κ, then U ∩ X ∈ M.
- Weak amenability is equivalent to  $P(\kappa)^M = P(\kappa)^N$ .
- Existence of weakly amenable *M*-ultrafilters is stronger than weak compactness.

### Ramsey embeddings

#### Theorem (Mitchell, 1979)

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is Ramsey if and only if for every  $A \subseteq \kappa$ , there is a transitive  $M \models ZFC$  of size  $\kappa$  with  $A, \kappa \in M$  and a weakly amenable, countably complete M-ultrafilter on  $\kappa$ .

- Weak amenability is necessary to iterate.
- Countable completeness ensures that all iterates are well-founded.
- Additionally assuming  $M^{<\kappa} \subseteq M$  is stronger than Ramsey.
- WLOG  $M = V_{j(\kappa)}^N \in N$ .

#### **Ramsey embedding**: $j: M \rightarrow N$

- $M \models \text{ZFC}$  has size  $\kappa$ , with  $\kappa \in M$
- *j* is the ultrapower by a countably complete *M*-ultrafilter on  $\kappa$
- $P(\kappa)^M = P(\kappa)^N$
- $M = V_{j(\kappa)}^N$ , so  $M \in N$
- M, N are internally approachable (stay tuned)

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

### Customizing the continuum function

Fix an Easton function *F*.

Let  $\mathbb{P}^{F} = \langle \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}, \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \text{ORD} \rangle$  be the following ORD-length Easton support iteration:

- If  $\alpha$  is not a closure point of F, then  $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\alpha}$  is trivial.
- If α is a closure point of F, let α be the next least closure point. Let Q
  <sub>α</sub> be a P<sub>α</sub>-name for the Easton support product

 $\prod_{\gamma \in [\alpha,\overline{\alpha}) \cap \mathsf{REG}} \mathrm{Add}(\gamma, \mathcal{F}(\gamma))$ 

as defined in  $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}}$ .

Tagline: "Use an iteration of Easton support products between closure points of F."

#### Theorem (Menas, 1976)

If  $V \models \text{GCH}$ , then any forcing extension by  $\mathbb{P}^F$  is cofinality preserving and F is its continuum function on the regular cardinals.

### Customizing the continuum function: Ramsey cardinals

Fix a Ramsey cardinal  $\kappa$  and an Easton function *F* with closure point at  $\kappa$ .

**Question**: How do we argue that  $\kappa$  remains Ramsey after forcing with  $\mathbb{P}^{F}$ ?

Observe:

- A forcing that doesn't add subsets to  $\kappa$  cannot destroy Ramsey cardinals.
- The forcing following  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \mathcal{F}(\kappa))$  is  $\leq \kappa$ -distributive.
- It suffices to show that  $\kappa$  remains Ramsey in the forcing extension by:

 $\mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{F}}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, \mathsf{F}(\kappa)).$ 

Question: How do we show this?

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

### The indestructibility toolkit: Lifting Criterion

**Lifting Criterion**: Suppose  $j : M \to N$  is an elementary embedding of models of ZFC,  $\mathbb{P} \in M$  is a poset and  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is *M*-generic. Then *j* lifts to

 $j: M[G] \rightarrow N[H],$ 

where  $H = j(G) \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$  is *N*-generic, if and only if

*j* " *G* ⊆ *H*.

**Tagline**: "To lift *j*, we need *N*-generic  $H \subseteq j(\mathbb{P})$  such that *j* "  $G \subseteq H$ ."

Question: How do we obtain H?

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### The indestructibility toolkit: diagonalization criterions

**Diagonalization Criterion:** If  $N \models \text{ZFC}$  is transitive of size  $\kappa$  with  $N^{<\kappa} \subseteq N$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $<\kappa$ -closed poset in N, then there is an N-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition**: A transitive  $M \models \text{ZFC}$  of size  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa \in M$  is internally approachable if it is the union of an elementary chain

 $X_0 \prec X_1 \prec \cdots \prec X_n \prec \cdots \prec M$ ,

such that:

- $X_i \in M$ ,
- $|X_i|^M = \kappa$ ,
- $X_i^{<\kappa} \subseteq X_i$  in M,
- (X<sub>i</sub> need not be transitive).

**Diagonalization Criterion\*:** (G., Johnstone, 2011) If  $N \models ZFC$  is internally approachable and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\leq \kappa$ -distributive poset in N, then there is an N-generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

### The indestructibility toolkit: preserving Ramsey embeddings

Theorem: (G., Johnstone, 2011) Suppose that

- $M \models \text{ZFC}$  and  $\kappa \in M$ ,
- $j: M \rightarrow N$  is the ultrapower map by a countably complete *M*-ultrafilter *U* on  $\kappa$ ,
- $\mathbb{P} \in M$  is a poset that is countably closed in V and  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is V-generic,
- *j* lifts to  $j : M[G] \to N[j(G)]$  in V[G].

Then the lift j is the ultrapower by a countably complete M[G]-ultrafilter in V[G].

Note: We must still argue separately that

 $\boldsymbol{P}(\kappa)^{\boldsymbol{M}[\boldsymbol{G}]} = \boldsymbol{P}(\kappa)^{\boldsymbol{N}[\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{G})]}.$ 

# Preserving weakly compact cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^F}$

**Theorem**: (Folklore) If  $\kappa$  is weakly compact and F is an Easton function with a closure point at  $\kappa$ , then  $\kappa$  remains weakly compact in any forcing extension by  $\mathbb{P}^{F}$ .

#### Sketch of Proof:

It suffices to show that  $\kappa$  remains weakly compact in any forcing extension:

V[G][K] by  $\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa))$ .

**Task**: In V[G][K], find for every  $A \subseteq \kappa$ , a model  $M \models \text{ZFC}$  of size  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa, A \in M$ , and  $j : M \to N$  with critical point  $\kappa$ .

#### Observe:

- $\mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{F}}_{\kappa}$  has size  $\kappa$  and the  $\kappa$ -cc.
- Add( $\kappa$ ,  $F(\kappa)$ ) has the  $\kappa^+$ -cc.
- $\mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{F}}_{\kappa} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \mathsf{F}(\kappa))$  has the  $\kappa^+$ -cc.

## Preserving weakly compact cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$ (continued)

#### Sketch of Proof: (continued)

Strategy: (failing)

- Fix  $A \subseteq \kappa \in V[G][K]$ .
- Fix a nice  $\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa))$ -name  $\dot{A}$  such that  $(\dot{A})_{G*K} = A$ .
  - $(\dot{A} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \{\check{\alpha}\} \times A_{\alpha}$ , where  $A_{\alpha}$  is an antichain of  $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa}^{F} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa)))$
- Find  $j: M \to N$  with critical point  $\kappa$ , where  $|M| = \kappa$ ,  $M^{<\kappa} \subseteq M$ , such that:  $A, \mathbb{P}_{\kappa}^{F} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa)), f = F \upharpoonright \kappa \in M$ .
- Force over *M* with  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \mathcal{F}(\kappa))$ .
- Lift *j* to  $j : M[G][K] \to N[j(G)][j(K)]$ .
- $(\dot{A})_{G*K} = A \in M[G][K].$

**Problem**:  $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa}^{F} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa))$  could be too large to fit into *M* (of size  $\kappa$ ).

# Preserving weakly compact cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$ (continued)

### Standard trick:

- Since  $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa}^{F} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa))$  has the  $\kappa^{+}$ -cc, at most  $\kappa$ -many conditions of  $\mathrm{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa))$  appear in  $\dot{A}$ .
- WLOG all conditions in  $\dot{A}$  appear in the first coordinate of Add( $\kappa$ ,  $F(\kappa)$ ). (use an automorphism)
- Let g be the restriction of K to first coordinate of  $Add(\kappa, F(\kappa))$ .
- g is V[G]-generic for  $Add(\kappa, 1)^{V[G]}$  and  $\dot{A}_{G*g} = A$ .

### Strategy: (correct)

- Fix  $A \subseteq \kappa \in V[G][K]$ .
- Fix a nice  $\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ -name  $\dot{A}$  such that  $(\dot{A})_{G*g} = A$ .
- Find  $j: M \to N$  with critical point  $\kappa$ , where  $|M| = \kappa$ ,  $M^{<\kappa} \subseteq M$ , such that:  $\dot{A}, \mathbb{P}_{\kappa}^{F}, f \in M$ .
- Force over *M* with  $\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ .
- Lift *j* to  $j : M[G][g] \rightarrow N[j(G)][j(g)]$ .
- $(\dot{A})_{G*g} = A \in M[G][g].$

Preserving weakly compact cardinals in  $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$  (continued) Sketch of Proof: (continued)

**Step 1:** Lift *j* to  $j : M[G] \rightarrow N[j(G)]$ 

- $j(\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa}) = \mathbb{P}^{j(f)}_{j(\kappa)} = \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa)) \times \prod_{\gamma \in (\kappa, \overline{\kappa})} \mathrm{Add}(\gamma, j(f)(\gamma)) * \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{tail}}.$
- $\operatorname{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]} = \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{V[G]} \cong \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \kappa)^{V[G]}$ . (N[G]<sup><  $\kappa$ </sup>  $\subseteq$  N[G] in V[G])
- Let  $H \in V[G][K]$  be V[G]-generic for  $Add(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))$  such that  $H = g \times k$ .
- Use Diag. Crit. to build N[G][H]-generic  $\overline{H} \subseteq \prod_{\gamma \in (\kappa, \overline{\kappa})} \operatorname{Add}(\gamma, j(f)(\gamma))$ .
- Use Diag. Crit. to build  $N[G][H][\overline{H}]$ -generic  $G_{tail} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{tail}$ .
- Let  $j(G) = G * g \times k \times \overline{H} * G_{\text{tail}}$ .

### **Step 2:** Lift *j* to $j : M[G][g] \rightarrow N[j(G)][j(g)]$

- $j(\operatorname{Add}(\kappa, 1)) = \operatorname{Add}(j(\kappa), 1)^{N[j(G)]}$ .
- $j " g = g \in \operatorname{Add}(j(\kappa), 1)^{N[j(G)]}$ .
- Use Diag. Crit. to build N[j(G)]-generic g<sup>\*</sup> ⊆ Add(j(κ), 1)<sup>N[j(G)]</sup> below the master condition g.

**Note**:  $N[j(G)] = N[G * g \times k \times \overline{H} * G_{tail}]$  has subsets of  $\kappa$  that are not in M[G][g].

This argument does not work for Ramsey cardinals!

# Preserving Ramsey cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$

**Theorem:** (Cody, G., 2012) If  $\kappa$  is Ramsey and *F* is an Easton function with a closure point at  $\kappa$ , then  $\kappa$  remains Ramsey in any forcing extension by  $\mathbb{P}^{F}$ .

#### Sketch of Proof: (rough)

It suffices to show that  $\kappa$  remains Ramsey in any forcing extension:

V[G][K] by  $\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, F(\kappa))$ .

**Task**: In V[G][K], find for every  $A \subseteq \kappa$ , a model  $M \models \text{ZFC}$  of size  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa, A \in M$ , and a weakly amenable countably complete *M*-ultrafilter on  $\kappa$ .

#### Strategy:

- Fix  $A \subseteq \kappa \in V[G][g]$ .
- Let *g* be the restriction of *K* to first coordinate of  $Add(\kappa, F(\kappa))$ .
- Fix a nice  $\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, 1)$ -name  $\dot{A}$  such that  $(\dot{A})_{G*g} = A$ .
- Fix a Ramsey embedding  $j: M \to N$  with  $\dot{A}, \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa}, f = \mathcal{F} \upharpoonright \kappa, V_{\kappa} \in M$ .
- Force over *M* with \_\_\_\_\_?
- Lift *j* to \_\_\_\_\_?

# Preserving Ramsey cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$

#### Sketch of Proof: (continued)

Strategy: (continued)

- Force over *M* with  $(\mathbb{P}^{F}_{\kappa} * \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+}))^{M}$ .
- Lift *j* to  $j: M[G][H] \rightarrow N[j(G)][j(H)]$ .
- The *M*[*G*]-generic *H* is obtained from *K* with *g* on first coordinate. (stay tuned)
- $(\dot{A})_{G*g} = A \in M[G][H].$
- A careful choice of H and j(G) will ensure that M[G][H] and N[j(G)] have same subsets of κ.
- Still have to argue that lift of *j* is the ultrapower by a countably complete ultrafilter!

# Preserving Ramsey cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$ (continued)

#### Sketch of Proof: (continued)

**Step 1:** Lift *j* to  $j : M[G] \rightarrow N[j(G)]$ 

- $j(\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa}) = \mathbb{P}^{j(f)}_{j(\kappa)} = \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa)) * \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{tail}}.$  ( $\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{tail}}$  includes  $\prod_{\gamma \in (\kappa, \pi)} \mathrm{Add}(\gamma, j(f)(\gamma))$ )
- $\operatorname{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]} \cong \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)^{M[G]}$ . (this needs proof)
- Let  $\tilde{H}$  be a "permutation" of H that is N[G]-generic for  $Add(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]}$ .
- Since  $\tilde{H}$  is a "permutation" of H, no new subsets of  $\kappa$  are added.
- Use Diag. Crit.\* to build  $N[G][\tilde{H}]$ -generic  $G_{tail} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{tail}$ . ( $\leq_{\kappa}$ -distributive)
- Let  $j(G) = G * \tilde{H} * G_{tail}$ .

### **Step 2:** Lift *j* to $j : M[G][H] \rightarrow N[j(G)][j(g)]$

- $j(\operatorname{Add}(\kappa,\kappa^+)) = \operatorname{Add}(j(\kappa),j(\kappa)^+)^{N[j(G)]}$ .
- Because  $\tilde{H}$  is a "permutation" of H, we have increasingly powerful master conditions in N[j(G)].
- Use Diag. Crit.\* to build N[j(G)]-generic J ⊆ Add(j(κ), j(κ)<sup>+</sup>))<sup>N[j(G)]</sup> below the increasingly powerful master conditions.

# Preserving Ramsey cardinals in $V^{\mathbb{P}^{F}}$ (continued)

#### Sketch of Proof: (continued)

**Step 3**: Verify that  $j : M[G][H] \to N[j(G)][j(g)]$  is the ultrapower by a countably complete M[G][H]-ultrafilter in V[G][K].

- WLOG  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa}$  is countably closed, but...
- $\operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)^{M[G]}$  is not!
- Since *H* is obtained from *K* and  $Add(\kappa, F(\kappa))$  is countably closed...
- things work out!

### Ramsey embeddings (recall)

#### Ramsey embedding: $j: M \rightarrow N$

- $M \models \text{ZFC}$  has size  $\kappa$ , with  $\kappa \in M$
- *j* is the ultrapower by a countably complete *M*-ultrafilter on  $\kappa$
- $P(\kappa)^M = P(\kappa)^N$
- $M = V_{j(\kappa)}^N$ , so  $M \in N$
- M, N are internally approachable

**Definition**: A transitive  $M \models \text{ZFC}$  of size  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa \in M$  is internally approachable if it is the union of an elementary chain

$$X_0 \prec X_1 \prec \cdots \prec X_n \prec \cdots \prec M$$
,

such that:

- $X_i \in M$ ,
- $|X_i|^M = \kappa$ ,
- $X_i^{<\kappa} \subseteq X_i$  in M,
- (X<sub>i</sub> need not be transitive).

# The mystery behind *H* **Set-up**:

- $j: M \rightarrow N$  is a Ramsey embedding.
- We force over M with  $(\mathbb{P}_{\kappa}^{F} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^{+}))^{M}$ .
- $M[G] = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \overline{X}_i$  is internally approachable.  $(\overline{x}_i = x_i[G])$

Constructing H:

• Partition  $(\kappa^+)^M = \bigsqcup_{i < \omega} x_i$  with  $x_i \in M[G]$ .

$$X_0 = \overline{X}_1 \cap (\kappa^+)^M,$$

- $x_i = (\overline{X}_{i+1} \setminus \overline{X}_i) \cap (\kappa^+)^M$ .
- Define  $\mathbb{Q}_i$ : consists of all conditions in  $\operatorname{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)^{M[G]}$  with domain  $\subseteq x_i$ .
- Add(κ, κ<sup>+</sup>)<sup>M[G]</sup> is isomorphic to the finite support product ∏<sub>i<ω</sub> Q<sub>i</sub>.
- $\mathbb{Q}_i \cong \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, 1)^{V[G]}$  in M[G] by  $\varphi_i$ . ( $|\overline{x}_i| = \kappa \inf M[G]$ )
- $\prod_{i < n} \mathbb{Q}_i \cong X_n \cap \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, \kappa^+)^{M[G]}$  is in M[G].
- Let  $\overline{H} \in V[G][K]$  be V[G]-generic for  $Add(\kappa, \omega)^{V[G]}$ .
- Let *H* be all conditions in  $\overline{H}$  with finite support.
- *H* is not *V*[*G*]-generic for the finite support product ∏<sub>i<∞</sub> Q<sub>i</sub>, but...
- *H* is M[G]-generic because every antichain is a subset of some  $\overline{X}_i$ .

# The mystery behind j(G)

#### Set-up:

- $j(\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa}) = \mathbb{P}^{j(f)}_{j(\kappa)} = \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\kappa} * \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa)) * \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{tail}}.$
- $j(G) = G * \_ * G_{tail}$ .
- $N[G] = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \overline{Y}_i$  is internally approachable.

### **Constructing** N[G]-generic for $Add(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]}$ :

- We partition  $j(f)(\kappa)^N = \bigsqcup_{i < \omega} y_i$ .
  - $y_0 = \overline{Y}_1 \cap j(f)(\kappa)^N$ ,
  - $y_i = (\overline{Y}_{i+1} \setminus \overline{Y}_i) \cap j(f)(\kappa)^N$ .
- Define  $\mathbb{R}_i$ : consists of all conditions in  $Add(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]}$  with domain  $\subseteq y_i$ .
- Add $(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]}$  is isomorphic to the finite support product  $\prod_{i < \omega} \mathbb{R}_i$ .
- $\mathbb{R}_i \cong \mathrm{Add}(\kappa, 1)^{V[G]}$  in N[G] by  $\psi_i$ .
- $\prod_{i < n} \mathbb{R}_i \cong \overline{Y}_n \cap \operatorname{Add}(\kappa, j(f)(\kappa))^{N[G]}$  is in N[G].
- In V[G], define an isomorphism between Π<sub>i<ω</sub> Q<sub>i</sub> and Π<sub>i<ω</sub> ℝ<sub>i</sub> using φ<sub>i</sub> and ψ<sub>i</sub>.
- Use the isomorphism to obtain  $\tilde{H}$  from H.

# Thank you!

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・